

AnythingLLM Answers

Files uploaded and Prompts input	Evaluation of answers provided	Score
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 10.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Type, Colour.</p>	<p>AnythingLLM responded, "I'm an AI and don't have the ability to directly access or interpret images. However, I can help you create a template for cataloguing the contents of the images based on your requirements. Here is a sample:"</p>	0
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 9.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>It is quite remarkable how wrong AnythingLLM can be: It suggests image 1 is 'a photograph of a person wearing a white lab coat standing in front of a large electronic device with multiple screens and buttons'; image 2 is 'a schematic of a circuit board'; and image 3 is 'a photograph of a small robot with wheels on its base'. This answer is useless</p>	0
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose-leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 8.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Manufacturer, Type, and Size.</p>	<p>This answer followed along with the totally incorrect interpretations AnythingLLM made in answer to the previous question; and it was unable to provide manufacturer or size info.</p>	0
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose-leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 7.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>This is a totally incorrect and useless answer. It concluded that Image 1 is a list of movies with their details, Image 2 is a computer network diagram, and Image 3 is Python code for web scraping data from a book e-commerce website.</p>	0
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book.</p> <p>Test 6.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Country, Year, Value, Notes.</p>	<p>This answer followed along with the totally incorrect interpretations AnythingLLM made in answer to the previous question; and it was unable to provide country or year info.</p>	0
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book.</p> <p>Test 5.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 5.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb)</p> <p>Test 5.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>This is a useless answer. The images are all of stamps whereas AnythingLLM describes ones as 'the structure of a microprocessor', one as 'a schematic of a PCB - Printed Circuit Board'; and the last as 'a block diagram for an automotive electronic control unit'</p>	0
<p>Three documents of events, tickets, membership cards etc.</p> <p>Test 4.1 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-06 - events 1981 (2.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 4.2 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-15 - events 1982 (5.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 4.3 - SUPAUL-PERS-010-04 - events 1983 (901kb)</p> <p>Prompt: List all the events and activities described in the three documents I have just uploaded, putting them in date order.</p>	<p>Despite there being over 20 events included in these three files, AnythingLLM was only able to list 4 of them - and not all of those correctly. It said that Belle Vue Circus was on an unspecified date whereas the date, Jan 10, is clearly printed in big letters on the ticket; some of the elements of the Swiss mime artist were poorly printed so the AI didn't interpret them correctly; the concert at Thornbury Hall should have been at Norbury Hall. This is just a very sparse answer - though it does show a capability to read scanned documents.</p>	5
<p>Three image-only scanned text documents relating to Friends of the Earth in Harrow from 1976-1979.</p>	<p>I got a warning message saying that the third file took me just over 80%of the context window but I elected to proceed anyway. The</p>	1

<p>Test 3.1 - PAW-PERS-0049-05 - FOE Harrow Coordinator activities, 1976.pdf (1.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 3.2 - PAW-PERS-0049-06 - FOE London Coordinators Group, 1976.pdf (170kb)</p> <p>Test 3.3 - PAW-PERS-0049-07 - FOE Harrow after I left, 1977-78.pdf (2.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Summarise Friends of the Earth activities in Harrow as described in the three documents I have just uploaded.</p>	<p>answer was very brief - four points each two lines long - which didn't do justice to the 50 pages that had been uploaded. Unfortunately, I could only connect a small number of points with the files uploaded. It got the date of a meeting right (July 1976) and some of the names right, but all the rest seems to have been completely made up - or, more likely, reproduced from training materials provided to it. Furthermore, this result doesn't indicate one way or the other whether the AI was reading and understanding any of the scanned images in each of the three files.</p>	
<p>Three Word files with diverse contents: library loan history; an account of a petition; thoughts on university life.</p> <p>Test 2.1 - PAW-PERS-0090-01 - Paul's Aylesbury library loan history 2004-2012.docx (25kb)</p> <p>Test 2.2 - PAW-PERS-0027-43#1 - The story of a petition.docx (14kb)</p> <p>Test 2.3 - PAW-PERS-0027-66#2 - Transcript and update of infirmary essay, Feb 1972.docx (30kb)</p> <p>Prompt: Discuss any relationships that can be found between the three files I just uploaded.</p>	<p>The answer comes in three parts - one for each file. Within the 1st file it suggests, correctly, that some of the books are related by publication year or author. The 2nd part suggests, wrongly, that some books led to the petition; and the 3rd part suggest reasonably that information about my background may throw light on why I led the petition. Overall, it's not very detailed or revealing - but at least it is an answer with one or two correct, albeit, rather trivial insights.</p>	5
<p>Three years of diary entries in word format.</p> <p>Test 1.1 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#5 - Diary 1985.docx (27kb)</p> <p>Test 1.2 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#6 - Diary 1986.docx (27kb)</p> <p>Test 1.3 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#7 - Diary 1987.docx (27kb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I just uploaded, describe and summarise Paul Wilson's three years from 1985 to 1987.</p>	<p>The answer is very brief consisting of just 2-4 lines for each of the 3 years and finishing with a 3-line summary (which includes the statement 'bonding volumes of work materials with his colleagues' instead of 'binding'. Curiously, the answer is entirely focused on work activities with absolutely nothing on the family, sport, events or socialising. Overall, it is very narrow and lacking in detail - very poor</p>	2

ChatGPT Answers

Files uploaded and Prompts input	Evaluation of answers provided	Score
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 10.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Type, Colour.</p>	<p>ChatGPT has made a pretty good job of this listing all but 1 of the 53 items (1 item in Image 2 is missing). Type is properly specified for each item and the colour description are good. Reference number has been specified to identify which image the item comes from.</p>	9.2
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 9.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>The description of each of these images is pretty good with most items being accounted for. In image 2 which is a lot more crowded some things get a generalised mention eg. 'Multiple miniature porcelain cups and saucers'. For each of the images ChatGPT displays three different examples of the same sort of thing which I don't find very helpful - in fact its confusing. An overall summary is provided</p>	8.5
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 8.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt:: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Manufacturer, Type, and Size.</p>	<p>This lists 39 different chocolate wrappers - almost all those that have visible names. I only noted one item not included - that was the second of the cresta bars in image 3. I also spotted one reading error - ChatGPT called the Akuafo Bar on image 1 the 'AuguStar Duo'. The Manufacturer and Size information was picked up for most of the entries. A good result.</p>	8.7
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 7.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>Quite a good summation. ChatGPT recognised that it was a collection of chocolate wrappers and went on to carefully document what was in each part of each image. It was able to read most of the text on the wrappers. It recognised that some of the wrappers had been stuck onto diary pages (though it implied that they dates of the pages were the dates the wrappers were obtained which isn't the case - it was just a spare diary lying around - though I did start the collection sometime in the 1960s.The overall summary identified 5 key themes which were mostly right - though the summary suggested that all the wrappers were kept in plastic sleeves and that they had been grouped by theme or date - which isn't really true - the order outside the diary is relatively random.</p>	8.5
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book.</p> <p>Test 6.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Country, Year, Value, Notes.</p>	<p>The answer is exactly as requested in a nice clear table which has options at the top to Copy, Edit, or Download. A summary para at the bottom is also included. All the stamps on the pages are listed - though there's no way of knowing whether it's just reproduced all the catalogue text on the pages or whether it's checked that an example of each stamp actually appears on the page - though the end of the summary does claim that 'The catalogue includes all visible reference-numbered stamps from the images, covering Italian issues from 1922–1952.'</p>	9.7
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book.</p> <p>Test 5.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 5.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb)</p> <p>Test 5.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>ChatGPT certainly recognised these pages as a collection of postage stamps. It provided detailed information about the stamps on each page together with a summary. It clearly was able to read the catalogue text which is provided next to the relevant stamps. However, a few errors were noted: for page 1 it said 'Handwritten annotations and catalogue values visible' whereas only the catalogue numbers have been written - the values are in the catalogue extracts. On page 2 it included an incorrect image for stamp 303 - an image which doesn't appear anywhere on the three pages uploaded. On page 3 it included two images that don't appear on the page, and two images which are the correct stamps but without postmarks. It clearly has its own store of stamp images. Overall, a comprehensive, useful description of the three pages but let down by misleading images</p>	8.5

<p>Three documents of events, tickets, membership cards etc.</p> <p>Test 4.1 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-06 - events 1981 (2.5Mb) Test 4.2 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-15 - events 1982 (5.8Mb) Test 4.3 - SUPAUL-PERS-010-04 - events 1983 (901kb) Prompt: List all the events and activities described in the three documents I have just uploaded, putting them in date order.</p>	<p>The answer was provided in clear list form with headings but little additional information. All items have been included, so its clear that ChatGPT has read all parts of the three scanned documents that were uploaded. Overall, a solid competent answer but a bit bare of some of the additional details which appeared on several of the scanned artefacts.</p>	<p>8.5</p>
<p>Three image-only scanned text documents relating to Friends of the Earth in Harrow from 1976-1979</p> <p>Test 3.1 - PAW-PERS-0049-05 - FOE Harrow Coordinator activities, 1976.pdf (1.7Mb) Test 3.2 - PAW-PERS-0049-06 - FOE London Coordinators Group, 1976.pdf (170kb) Test 3.3 - PAW-PERS-0049-07 - FOE Harrow after I left, 1977-78.pdf (2.4Mb) Prompt: Summarise Friends of the Earth activities in Harrow as described in the three documents I have just uploaded.</p>	<p>A relatively short (about two screenfuls) discursive answer with no headings or bullet points (not necessarily a bad thing). References to one or other of the three documents appear throughout the text so it does appear that text was interpreted from all three scans. However, no names are mentioned at all; no membership numbers or financial details are included; it doesn't seem to have picked up the 1979 meeting in the 3rd document; and it suggests that the separate 'Whale fund' initiative emanated from Harrow whereas in fact it was just Denise Bell's membership of both groups that caused it's paperwork to be included in this set of documents.</p>	<p>7.5</p>
<p>Three Word files with diverse contents: library loan history; an account of a petition; thoughts on university life.</p> <p>Test 2.1 - PAW-PERS-0090-01 - Paul's Aylesbury library loan history 2004-2012.docx (25kb) Test 2.2 - PAW-PERS-0027-43#1 - The story of a petition.docx (14kb) Test 2.3 - PAW-PERS-0027-66#2 - Transcript and update of infirmiry essay, Feb 1972.docx (30kb) Prompt: Discuss any relationships that can be found between the three files I just uploaded.</p>	<p>This is a comprehensive answer identifying 8 types of relationships between the documents sandwiched between an introductory paragraph and an 'Overall Interpretation'. Each relationship contains plenty of supporting material taken from the documents. I didn't spot any errors. Solid.</p>	<p>9</p>
<p>Three years of diary entries in word format.</p> <p>Test 1.1 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#5 - Diary 1985.docx (27kb) Test 1.2 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#6 - Diary 1986.docx (27kb) Test 1.3 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#7 - Diary 1987.docx (27kb) Prompt: Using the three files I just uploaded, describe and summarise Paul Wilson's three years from 1985 to 1987.</p>	<p>This is an extensive and comprehensive answer with a 5-line introduction, about 2 screens of detail, and a summary. There were no obvious errors though I didn't check in detail. A readable and informative piece covering most of the ground recorded in the diaries.</p>	<p>8.5</p>

Copilot Answers

Files uploaded and Prompts input	Evaluation of answers	Score
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 10.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Type, Colour.</p>	<p>All the image 1 items were correctly included, but `1 item from image 3 was missed, and some 18 items were missed from the (very cluttered) image 2. Furthermore, in image 2 the single sculpture of three elephants was catalogued as three separate pieces. The type and colour information was all OK. At the end it helpfully provided the total no of items catalogued (36 out of a possible 53 which doesn't really inspire confidence).</p>	6
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 9.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>This is a good overview of the three images but with more general information about the types of objects and, in the case of the cluttered image 2, relatively few items being singled out for specific descriptions. The number of items in each image is not mentioned. Copilot makes some incorrect (though perhaps understandable) assumptions about these items being specifically curated ('Careful arrangement and presentation') which is only partially true since they were just quickly put together for a photo,</p>	8.4
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose-leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 8.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Manufacturer, Type, and Size.</p>	<p>This isn't a very complete catalogue: Copilot missed 2 from diary page in image 1; 1 from diary page in image 2 - and a number of others in image 2; and about 3 in image 3. Also, it has given almost no size info saying 'not shown' - and for the 5 items it has provided size info for it has given the price. It has broken up the catalogue into different images - and sets within images. Altogether, not a very useful result.</p>	5.5
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose-leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 7.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>The answer is very comprehensive and clearly describes what is on each image. However, it does make some assumptions which are incorrect such as saying that image 2 emphasises Cadbury's brand evolution (it is just a random assortment of wrappers); and suggesting that wrappers in image 1 have been pasted onto specific dates in the diary scrapbook (the dates are actually irrelevant - its just being used as a scrapbook. The summary also makes the same mistake ('The scrapbook pages from 1963 are systematic'). Despite this Copilot has read the writing on the wrappers and provided a good write-up of what's there.</p>	8.8
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book.</p> <p>Test 6.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Country, Year, Value, Notes.</p>	<p>The answer is set out OK as a table, but the contents for page 1 are very poor with incorrect catalogue numbers and several stamps missing. Page 2 is better with all stamps being mentioned with correct catalogue numbers - though it misread 7L70 as 1L70. The 3rd pages is very poor with only 10 of the 19 stamps represent and no catalogue numbers (it specifies underneath the list that 'The 1951–52 page does not display catalogue reference numbers, so only the visible fields are included' - though this is the same layout as the other two pages. Overall, not a good catalogue listing</p>	4
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book.</p> <p>Test 5.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 5.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb)</p> <p>Test 5.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>This answer looks comprehensive but is actually significantly flawed. In the introduction it says 'The pages are clearly from a specialised printed album,' but actually they aren't - they are just standard blank pages with stamps hinged on and some cutouts from catalogues stuck on. The first page is described OK. But for the 2nd page it says that only one of a set of 7 is displayed – even though all 7 are displayed; and a set of 3 is completely missed out. The 3rd page is significantly abbreviated with three of the 1951 stamps mentioned specified as being for single stamps only whereas there are two or three stamps in those sets. It also only specifies one of the 5 1952 sets, and for the one set it does mention it only identifies one of the 3 stamps and for that one it even has the wrong value. This doesn't inspire confidence.</p>	5
<p>Three documents of events, tickets, membership cards etc.</p> <p>Test 4.1 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-06 - events 1981 (2.5Mb)</p>	<p>This is a list - just as requested. All items are on the list except for a ticket for the NCC Film society without a date, a ticket for the Polytechnic sauna, a ticket just saying 66 and valid to..., and a ticket</p>	9.7

<p>Test 4.2 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-15 - events 1982 (5.8Mb) Test 4.3 - SUPAUL-PERS-010-04 - events 1983 (901kb) Prompt: List all the events and activities described in the three documents I have just uploaded, putting them in date order.</p>	<p>to Castle Drogo without a date. The AI has successfully read horizontal and vertical text as well as handwriting. A first-rate performance.</p>	
<p>Three image-only scanned text documents relating to Friends of the Earth in Harrow from 1976-1979 Test 3.1 - PAW-PERS-0049-05 - FOE Harrow Coordinator activities, 1976.pdf (1.7Mb) Test 3.2 - PAW-PERS-0049-06 - FOE London Coordinators Group, 1976.pdf (170kb) Test 3.3 - PAW-PERS-0049-07 - FOE Harrow after I left, 1977-78.pdf (2.4Mb) Prompt: Summarise Friends of the Earth activities in Harrow as described in the three documents I have just uploaded.</p>	<p>This starts with a concise take away para which is followed by 8 separate sections providing details on various aspects of the group's activities. It's clear the AI must have been able to read the scanned documents. However, it's noticeable that relatively little is said of 1978 activities and 1979 is not mentioned at all despite the last two pages of the third file being minutes of an April 1979 meeting. Overall, this is a pretty good summary of three sets of scanned documents.</p>	8
<p>Three Word files with diverse contents: library loan history; an account of a petition; thoughts on university life. Test 2.1 - PAW-PERS-0090-01 - Paul's Aylesbury library loan history 2004-2012.docx (25kb) Test 2.2 - PAW-PERS-0027-43#1 - The story of a petition.docx (14kb) Test 2.3 - PAW-PERS-0027-66#2 - Transcript and update of infirmary essay, Feb 1972.docx (30kb) Prompt: Discuss any relationships that can be found between the three files I just uploaded.</p>	<p>This is a well-structured answer, well-argued and full of supporting detail. It starts by summarising the content of each document and follows that with 6 plausible relationships between them: lifelong recorder, analyst, and narrator of experience; intellectual curiosity; institutions and authority; autobiographical reconstruction; relationship with writing; evolution of a worldview. It finishes with 6 bullet point summary. An excellent and insightful response</p>	9.3
<p>Three years of diary entries in word format. Test 1.1 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#5 - Diary 1985.docx (27kb) Test 1.2 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#6 - Diary 1986.docx (27kb) Test 1.3 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#7 - Diary 1987.docx (27kb) Prompt: Using the three files I just uploaded, describe and summarise Paul Wilson's three years from 1985 to 1987.</p>	<p>This is a very full, comprehensive, review of the three years, starting with an overview, then a detailed description of each year with examples from the diaries, followed by 5 cross-year themes, and ending with a concise summary. I didn't spot any errors. Hard to fault.</p>	9.8

Claude Answers

Files uploaded and Prompts input	Evaluation of answers	Score
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 10.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 10.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Type, Colour.</p>	<p>This is a comprehensive answer with 51 of the possible 53 items being catalogued. In addition, two other items missed by other AIs were catalogued (the lace doily and the partial image of a lamp - both in image 3). Claude had attempted to align the Reference Numbers with the numbers in the file names (eg. MW-HG-0010-08G) which actually wasn't very helpful - a simple numerical file - 2.7 would have been better. The Names, Types, and Colour information, however, was comprehensive and insightful. An additional field was included at the end to indicate which image the item came from. The results were provided in an excel spreadsheet which could be downloaded - a useful touch. Interestingly, the one thing that Claude did get obviously wrong was the number of items in the spreadsheet: it said there were 55 items in the spreadsheet - but in fact that included the legend row at the top and a blank row at the bottom. In summarising at the end it correctly said there were 10 items from image 1 and 28 items from image 2; and then to get the numbers to add up to 55 it said there were 17 items in image 3 whereas actually only 15 items were listed: a curious error in an otherwise very good response.</p> <p>[NB. Although Claude's first response was quick (5 seconds) it then took it another 65 seconds to produce the Excel spreadsheet.]</p>	9.1
<p>Three photos of household ornaments showing 10 pieces of Wedgewood, 30 small display items, and 13 sundry items</p> <p>Test 9.1 - MW-HG-0008-01#2 - , 03Dec2023.JPG (2.56Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.2 - MW-HG-0010-01 - , 04Dec2023.JPG (2.9Mb)</p> <p>Test 9.3 -MW-HG-0010-08 - , 10Dec2023.JPG (3.25Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>This is a very good answer with all the items in images 1 and 3 being specifically described, as well as 21 of the 30 items in image 2 (the remainder of them being referred to as 'Several small decorative dishes and pin trays'. The descriptions are informative and indicate some knowledge about such objects - though Claude did get a few wrong (a letter rack was mistaken for 'A silver-plated sauce/gravy boat with red enamel interior' and two drinks mats were mistaken for 'Two engraved silver-plated circular compacts or lids'). However, some descriptions were particularly insightful (such as 'A striking butterfly wing tray — a wooden tray with inlaid marquetry border, glazed over an intricate mosaic of iridescent butterfly wings arranged in a symmetrical pattern'). Worthy of special note is the fact that Claude picked up everything in the images including the lace doily and the fragment of the 'ceramic lattice/pierced spherical lamp or ornament' which it identified as being 'partially visible, left edge'. Claude summed up the answer with the correct assumption that 'the three images appear to represent items being catalogued, possibly for a house clearance, estate sale, or auction'. Overall, an excellent answer.</p>	9.5
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose-leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 8.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 8.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the following fields: Reference Number, Name, Manufacturer, Type, and Size.</p>	<p>This is a very complete listing of what's in the images subject to the errors already identified in the answer to the previous question. It managed to identify most of the manufacturers and almost all of the sizes (for sizes, where 'Standard bar' was specified, it was accompanied by the price in brackets). A useful set of notes make clear that 'Pasted in diary' means 'wrappers adhered to a spiral-bound pocket diary used as a scrapbook'; and 'Several wrappers in Image 2 (2.09–2.12) are too plain or damaged to identify the brand with certainty'</p>	9.4
<p>Chocolate wrappers - 3 files each of four sections - a double page of the Glen Line Diary and 3 pages from the loose-leaf binder.</p> <p>Test 7.1 - Collection 1.JPEG (3.8Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.2 - Collection 2.JPEG (3.5Mb)</p> <p>Test 7.3 - Collection 3.JPEG (3.7Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>This is a well-crafted narrative in three sections - one for each image. I don't think anything was missed but some items were misread or misinterpreted: Claude read the Akuafao Bar as the Munafao Bar; It didn't read the M&S tin and called it 'A decorative red box/tin'; it called 3 Greens wrappers 'Several plain/brown Cadbury wrappers'; it interpreted three printings on one wrapper to be 'Three Lindt Latte miniature wrappers (blue)'. Also, it interpreted the diary wrappers to have been inserted on particular days - but they were just randomly placed on the page.</p>	9
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book.</p> <p>Test 6.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb)</p> <p>Test 6.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb)</p> <p>Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, catalogue the contents of the images using the</p>	<p>A highly competent answer with all stamps listed and with Catalogue values being included in the notes column - so clearly all the pasted-in catalogue sections have been read and interpreted. The delivery of the spreadsheet in Excel format is very useful. the summary at the end is also useful in making it clear what the spreadsheet consists of; and its statement that 'Reference Number — matching the album's</p>	9.7

<p>following fields: Reference Number, Country, Year, Value, Notes.</p>	<p>numbering (122–145, 303–313, 796–814)' gives reassurance that the actual stamps on the page have been assessed.</p>	
<p>Stamps - 3 files from the Italy pages of the Meteor book. Test 5.1 - Italy 6 cropped.jpg (4.7Mb) Test 5.2 - Italy 15a cropped.jpg (3.4Mb) Test 5.3 - Italy 40 cropped.jpg (4.4Mb) Prompt: Using the three files I have just attached, describe and summarise the contents of all the images.</p>	<p>A very good summation of the three pages with all stamps being identified and described and with the occasional remark indicating something unusual eg. 'The large 80c stamp (128) is particularly striking, showing a classical temple/mausoleum design.' and 'This is a premium and rare stamp.' and 'used, with a clear Pesaro postmark'. The last of these suggests that the AI had assessed at some or all of the actual stamps on the page. The summary at the end includes the insightful assumption that 'Catalogue values annotated throughout, with a handwritten year "1997" suggesting the catalogue edition used for valuation'.</p>	<p>9</p>
<p>Three documents of events, tickets, membership cards etc. Test 4.1 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-06 - events 1981 (2.5Mb) Test 4.2 - SUPAUL-PERS-007-15 - events 1982 (5.8Mb) Test 4.3 - SUPAUL-PERS-010-04 - events 1983 (901kb) Prompt: List all the events and activities described in the three documents I have just uploaded, putting them in date order.</p>	<p>This answer is just about perfect. It is in clear list form with every event numbered and active memberships separately listed at the end of each year. It has a lot of detail about each item. Every item is mentioned - including the three missed out by Copilot. It can obviously read the writing in images. First rate.</p>	<p>9.9</p>
<p>Three image-only scanned text documents relating to Friends of the Earth in Harrow from 1976-1979 Test 3.1 - PAW-PERS-0049-05 - FOE Harrow Coordinator activities, 1976.pdf (1.7Mb) Test 3.2 - PAW-PERS-0049-06 - FOE London Coordinators Group, 1976.pdf (170kb) Test 3.3 - PAW-PERS-0049-07 - FOE Harrow after I left, 1977-78.pdf (2.4Mb) Prompt: Summarise Friends of the Earth activities in Harrow as described in the three documents I have just uploaded.</p>	<p>This is a very readable answer with a lot of detail in it. It's clear the AI had read and interpreted all three scanned documents. It divided the summary into 6 very sensible sections. I didn't spot any errors, and it provides an easy-to-grasp picture of FOE Harrow's activities in a relatively short read.</p>	<p>9.3</p>
<p>Three Word files with diverse contents: library loan history; an account of a petition; thoughts on university life. Test 2.1 - PAW-PERS-0090-01 - Paul's Aylesbury library loan history 2004-2012.docx (25kb) Test 2.2 - PAW-PERS-0027-43#1 - The story of a petition.docx (14kb) Test 2.3 - PAW-PERS-0027-66#2 - Transcript and update of infirmary essay, Feb 1972.docx (30kb) Prompt: Discuss any relationships that can be found between the three files I just uploaded.</p>	<p>This is a very succinct answer focused very much on the request to discuss relationships of which 5 are identified - all quite reasonable: a common author; Two institutions; the young rebel; Roman Catholicism as a thread; library loans indicating character; an archival impulse. A readable piece with good explanations.</p>	<p>8.7</p>
<p>Three years of diary entries in word format. Test 1.1 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#5 - Diary 1985.docx (27kb) Test 1.2 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#6 - Diary 1986.docx (27kb) Test 1.3 - PAW-PERS-0033-30#7 - Diary 1987.docx (27kb) Prompt: Using the three files I just uploaded, describe and summarise Paul Wilson's three years from 1985 to 1987.</p>	<p>This too is a very comprehensive account of the three years, containing a huge amount of detail - even more than was in the Copilot response. However, the two answer are really quite different with this one being more of a narrative with rather less structure/bullet points than the Copilot answer. I only noticed one potential error - it said that the CHOTS project was 'likely for the RAF' whereas it was for the overall MOD.</p>	<p>9.7</p>